Partial Reduction of Dithioacetals with Phosphorus Reagents

Hiroyuki Saimoto, Atsuhiro Kanzaki, Kazumi Miyazaki, Hitoshi Sashiwa, and Yoshihiro Shigemasa*

Department of Materials Science, Faculty of Engineering,

Tottori University, Tottori 680

(Received February 20, 1992)

Synopsis. Acyclic dithioacetals 1 derived from di- and monoaryl ketones were monodesulfurized with diphosphorus tetraiodide or dimethyl phosphonate. A side product 5 from the reaction of 9,9-bis(ethylthio)-9*H*-fluorene with phosphorus triiodide suggested the intermediacy of an anionic species.

There have been many studies on the reduction¹⁾ and deprotection²⁾ of dithioacetals because of their synthetic utility. Previously, we reported that the reaction of dithiolanes with diphosphorus tetraiodide (P_2I_4) selectively gave the corresponding deprotected or reduced products.³⁾ Here we wish to report that acyclic dithioacetals 1 derived from di- and monoaryl ketones can be monodesulfurized⁴⁾ by treatment with P_2I_4 ^{5,6)} under mild conditions. In addition, further studies have been carried out using monophosphorus reagents, because the reaction mode of the diphosphorus reagent would be more complex than those of monophosphorus reagents.

Results and Discussion

Reaction of Dithioacetals with Diphosphorus Tetraiodide or Phosphorus Triiodide. As shown in Table 1, the reaction of diaryl ketone dithioacetals of diaryl ketones (1a, 1b, 1c, 1f, and 1g) mainly led to partially reduced products 2. In the cases of 1d and 1e, selectivity and yields of 2d and 2e were much improved by the addition of water (Runs 10 and 12). This finding suggested that we use phosphonic acid having both a hydrogen atom and a hydroxyl group instead of P_2I_4 , but the yield of 2b was very low (Run 6). Although dithioacetal 1g having an alkyl moiety could also be partially reduced, dialkyl ketone dithioacetals were not reduced, but only slowly decomposed.

The second reductive cleavage of the carbon-sulfur bond to yield the corresponding methylene compounds 3 was very slow (Run 2). In the cases of 1c and 1f, addition of water accelerated the reduction reaction to give 4-chlorodiphenylmethane (3c)⁷⁾ and 4-methoxy-diphenylmethane (3f)⁸⁾ (Runs 8 and 15).

When bis(phenylthio) derivative 7 was used instead of 1a, a monodesulfurized product was not obtained, but diphenylmethane was directly formed from 7 (Run 17).

In Runs 8 and 12, 3c containing 75% deuterium at the methylene position and 2e containing 41% deuterium at the methine position were obtained, respectively. In contrast, treatment of 1b with P₂I₄ in CD₂Cl₂ followed by a workup with D₂O gave 2b (71% yield) without deuteration. These results suggest that the hydrogen atoms used for the reduction are derived from a trace

Table 1. Reaction of Dithioacetals with P₂I₄ and PI₃^{a)}

$$R^1$$
 R^2
 $C=0$
 R^1
 R^2
 $C+SEt$
 R^2
 $C+SEt$
 R^2
 $C+SEt$

Run	Dithioacetal			Reagent	H_2O	Time	2, 4, and 3	
	R¹	R ²		Reagent	equiv	h	Yield/%	2: 4: 3
1	Ph	Ph	1a	P_2I_4	0	2.0	85	85:15 —
2				P_2I_4	0	24	51	8:25:67
3	$4-O_2N-C_6H_4$	Ph	1b	P_2I_4	0	1.8	86	94:6 —
4				$P_2I_4^{b)}$	0	1.0	94	49:51 —
5				PI_3	0	1.0	74	49:51 —
6				H_2PHO_3	0	24	14	36:64 —
7	$4-Cl-C_6H_4$	Ph	1c	P_2I_4	0	2.0	94	86:14 —
8				P_2I_4	$D_2O, 2$	20	80	— 6:94
9	$4-Br-C_6H_4$	Ph	1d	P_2I_4	0	2.0	71	51:49 —
10				P_2I_4	1	0.8	85	89:11 —
11	9,9-Bis(ethylthio)-9 <i>H</i> -fluorene		1e	P_2I_4	0	1.0	93	65:35 —
12				P_2I_4	D_2O , 1	1.5	87	90:10 —
13				PI_3	0	1.0	53 ^{c)}	68:32 —
14	$4-MeO-C_6H_4$	Ph	1f	P_2I_4	0	48	49	84:16 —
15				P_2I_4	2	7	72	<pre>— 6:94</pre>
16	$4-O_2N-C_6H_4$	Me	1g	P_2I_4	0	2.0	88	78:22 —
17	$Ph_2C(SPh)_2$		7	P_2I_4	0	24	55 ^{d)}	— 22:78

a) Molar ratio, dithioacetal: reagent=1:1; CH₂Cl₂; ca. 25 °C. b) Solvent, benzene. c) Phosphonate derivative 5 was isolated in a 26% yield. d) Recovery of 7, 11%.

Dithioacetal	1	Dage	Temp	Time	Yield of 2	
R ¹	R ²		Base	°C	h	
Ph	Ph	1a	DBU	80	18	75
			NaOMe	65	15	0
4-C1-C ₆ H ₄	Ph	1c	DBU	25	66	84
9,9-Bis(ethylthio)-9 <i>H</i> -fluorene		1e		25	24	0
, , ,			DBU	25	0.5	84
			NaOMe	60	1.0	93
$4-O_2N-C_6H_4$	Me	1g	DBU	120	92	38

a) Molar ratio, $1: HP(O)(OMe)_2: DBU$ (or $2 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} NaOMe$ in MeOH)=1:4:4.

Scheme 1.

amount of water present in the reaction system, so it is not neccessary for the reaction atmosphere to be completely dry for the reduction of 1.

Because the diphosphorus reagent was transformed into a complex mixture, dithioacetals 1 were allowed to react with several monophosphorus reagents. However, the selectivity of 2 against deprotected products 4 was not high. The reaction of 1 with phosphorus tribromide, triethyl phosphite, or dimethyl phosphonate in CH₂Cl₂ failed to give 2. On the other hand, we succeeded in obtaining 9-fluorenylphosphonate derivative 5 in the reaction of **1e** and phosphorus triiodide (Run 13). The analogous phosphonate derivative could not be obtained from 1b (Run 5). These results suggest that nucleophilic attack by the trivalent phosphorus reagent upon the sulfur atom in 1e gives a relatively stable cyclopentadienyl anion or ion-paired intermediate 6, which would in part combine through the carbonphosphorus bond to form 5 after an aqueous workup (Scheme 1). On the other hand, 1b would be transformed into a relatively unstable intermediate and would be protonated to give 2b.

Selective Partial Reduction of Dithioacetals with Dimethyl Phosphonate. As shown in Table 2, dithioacetals 1a, 1c, and 1e were converted into monodesulfurized products in good yields by treatment with dimethyl phosphonate and 1,8-diazabicyclo-[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU). By analogy with the abovementioned results, nucleophilic attack by the phosphorus reagent upon the sulfur atom of 1 resulted in formation of the sulfides 2. In the case of 1e, partial reduc-

tion was almost complete by using sodium methoxide in methanol instead of DBU.

The monodesulfurization method based on dimethyl phosphonate could not be applied to the partial reduction of dithioacetals derived from dialkyl ketones, but dithioacetal 1g having an alkyl moiety was transformed into 2g in a low yield.

Experimental

IR spectra of neat liquid film samples were recorded on a Shimadzu FTIR-4200 infrared spectrometer, and ¹H NMR spectra in CDCl₃ on a JEOL JNM-GX270 spectrometer. Spectral data of 1a,⁹⁾ 1e,¹⁰⁾ 1g,¹¹⁾ 2a,¹²⁾ 2e,¹³⁾ and 7⁹⁾ were identical to the reported data.

Preparation of Dithioacetals 1 and 7. Dithioacetals were prepared from the corresponding ketones, ¹⁴⁾ and the yields (%) were much improved by the addition of molecular sieves 3A; 1b (93), 1c (74), 1d (80), 1f (74).

1-[α , α -Bis(ethylthio)benzyl]-4-nitrobenzene (1b). IR 1580, 1510, 1350, 1100, and 840 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ=1.06 (6H, t, J=7.4 Hz), 2.35 (4H, q, J=7.4 Hz), and 7.2—8.1 (9H, m). Anal. (C₁₇H₁₉NO₂S₂) C, H, N, S.

1-[α,α-Bis(ethylthio)benzyl]-4-chlorobenzene (1c). IR 1480, 1440, 1090, and 1015 cm⁻¹; 1 H NMR δ=1.06 (6H, t, J=7.7 Hz), 2.33 (4H, q, J=7.7 Hz), and 7.2—7.5 (9H, m). Anal. (C_{17} H₁₉ClS₂) C, H, Cl, S.

1-[α,α-Bis(ethylthio)benzyl]-4-bromobenzene (1d). IR 1480, 1440, 1390, and 1000 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ=1.07 (6H, t, J=7.6 Hz), 2.33 (4H, q, J=7.6 Hz), and 7.2—7.5 (9H, m). Anal. (C₁₇H₁₉BrS₂) C, H, Br, S.

1-[α,α-Bis(ethylthio)benzyl]-4-methoxybenzene (1f). Bp 148—150 °C (bath temp/0.6 mmHg, 1 mmHg=133.322 Pa); IR 1600, 1510, 1500, and 1250 cm⁻¹; 1 H NMR δ =1.07 (6H, t, J=7.4 Hz), 2.35 (4H, q, J=7.4 Hz), 3.80 (3H, s), and 7.2—7.6 (9H, m). Anal. (C_{18} H₂₂OS₂) C, H, S.

Reaction of Dithioacetals with P₂I₄, PI₃, or H₂PHO₃. Synthesis of 1-[α -(Ethylthio)benzyl]-4-chlorobenzene (2c): (A Typical Procedure). A mixture of 1-[α , α -bis(ethylthio)benzyl]-4-chlorobenzene (1c) (105 mg, 0.33 mmol), P₂I₄ (186 mg, 0.33 mmol), and CH₂Cl₂ (4.7 ml) was stirred at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. After 2 h, CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) and 10% aqueous NaHSO₃ (5 ml) were added. The organic layer was washed with brine and concentrated. Purification by preparative TLC (hexane-ethyl acetate 7:1) gave 1-[α -(ethylthio)benzyl]-4-chlorobenzene (2c) (69 mg, 81% yield) along with 4-chlorobenzophenone (4c) (9 mg, 13% yield). Sulfide 2c showed IR 1490, 1450, 1120, and 1090 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ =1.21 (3H, t, J=7.4 Hz), 2.39 (2H, q, J=7.4 Hz), 5.14 (1H, s), and 7.2—7.4 (9H, m). Anal. (C₁₅H₁₅ClS₂) C, H, Cl, S.

1-[α-(Ethylthio)benzyl]-4-nitrobenzene (2b): IR 1600, 1510, 1340, and 1270 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ =1.23 (3H, t, J=7.4 Hz), 2.43

(2H, q, J=7.4 Hz), 5.24 (1H, s), and 7.3—8.2 (9H, m). Anal. $(C_{15}H_{15}NO_2S)$ C, H, N, S.

1-[α-(Ethylthio)benzyl]-4-bromobenzene (2d): IR 1480, 1440, 1070, and 1000 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ =1.20 (3H, t, J=7.4 Hz), 2.39 (2H, q, J=7.4 Hz), 5.12 (1H, s), and 7.2—7.4 (9H, m). Anal. (C₁₅H₁₅BrS) C, H, Br, S.

1-[α-(Ethylthio)benzyl]-4-methoxybenzene (2f):¹⁵⁾ IR 1610, 1500, 1420, and 1220 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ=1.24 (3H, t, J=7.4 Hz), 2.42 (2H, q, J=7.4 Hz), 3.78 (3H, s), 3.91 (1H, s), and 6.8—7.4 (9H, m).

1-[1-(Ethylthio)ethyl]-4-nitrobenzene (2g): 1 H NMR δ =1.17 (3H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 1.59 (2H, d, J=7.3 Hz), 2.33 (2H, q, J=7.3 Hz), 4.05 (1H, q, J=7.0 Hz), 7.51 (2H, d, J=8.8 Hz), and 8.18 (2H, d, J=8.8 Hz). Anal. ($C_{10}H_{13}NO_{2}S$) C, H, N, S.

9-(Ethylthio)-9-[(ethylthio)iodophosphinyl]fluorene (5): IR 1450, 1260, 1208, 745, and 538 cm⁻¹; 1 H NMR δ =0.94 (3H, t, J=7.2 Hz), 1.35 (3H, t, J=7.2 Hz), 2.37 (1H, dq, J=11.7 and 7.2 Hz), 2.44 (1H, dq, J=11.7 and 7.2 Hz), 2.99 (1H, tq, J=12.6 and 7.7 Hz), 3.05 (1H, tq, J=12.6 and 7.7 Hz), 7.36—7.55 (4H, m), 7.77 (2H, dd, J=7.4 and 4.1 Hz), 7.99 (1H, dd, J=7.9 and 2.8 Hz), 8.07 (1H, dd, J=7.5 and 2.6 Hz); MS m/z (%) 461 (M⁺, 20), 226 (M⁺-P(O)ISEt, 100); 165 (M⁺-P(O)ISEt-SEt, 50). Anal. (C_{17} H₁₈IOPS₂) C, H, I, P.

Reaction of Dithioacetal with Dimethyl Phosphonate: (General Procedure). Dithioacetal 1 and dimethyl phosphonate (4 equiv) were stirred with DBU (4 equiv) or with NaOMe in methanol (2 mol dm⁻³, 4 equiv). An extractive workup followed by purification by preparative TLC gave 2.

We are indebted to Drs. Makoto Suzuki, Ken-ichi Harada, and Hideaki Murata, Faculty of Pharmacy, Meijo University, for recording the MS spectra.

References

- 1) G. R. Pettit and E. E. van Tamelen, Org. React., 12, 356 (1962).
- 2) T. W. Greene, "Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis," John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1981), p. 129.
- 3) Y. Shigemasa, M. Ogawa, H. Sashiwa, and H. Saimoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 30, 1277 (1989).
- 4) Y. Shigemasa, K. Miyazaki, A. Kanzaki, H. Sashiwa, H. Saimoto, M. Suzuki, K. Harada, and H. Murata, *Chem. Express*, 6, 849 (1991), and references cited therein.
- 5) H. Suzuki and H. Tani, Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokai Shi, 43, 76 (1985).
- 6) H. Saimoto, Y. Kusano, and T. Hiyama, Tetrahedron Lett., 27, 1607 (1986).
- 7) A. R. Katritzky, C. M. Marsan, J.-L. Chen, F. Saczewski, and R. W. King, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1986, 1331.
- 8) J. G. Lichr, A. G. Brenton, J. H. Beynon, and W. J. Richter, *Org. Mass Spectrom.*, **16**, 139 (1981).
- 9) B. Wladislaw, P. R. Olivato, and O. Sala, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1971, 2037.
- 10) B. S. Ong, Tetrahedron Lett., 21, 4225 (1980).
- 11) S. V. Nikolaeva, D. M. Kukovitskii, V. V. Zorin, and D. L. Rakhmankulov, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, **56**, 2804 (1986); *J. Gen. Chem. USSR* (*Engl. Transl*), **56**, 2484 (1986).
- 12) M. Dagonneau and J. Vialle, Tetrahedron, 30, 3119 (1974).
- 13) F. G. Bordwell, G. E. Drucker, and G. J. McCollum, *J. Org. Chem.*, 47, 2504 (1982).
- 14) S. C. Sondej and J. A. Katzenellenbogen, J. Org. Chem., 51, 3508 (1986).
- 15) T. Mukaiyama, K. Narasaka, K. Maekawa, and H. Hokonoki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 43, 2549 (1970).